Serial No. 10/563,899 Response to Office Action dated June 30, 2008

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Advisory Action of September 19. In response to the Examiner's comments therein, Applicant submits this Second Response to the Final Office Action dated June 30, 2008, in which the Examiner rejected claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 20 as anticipated by Yie (US 4478368); and indicated that claims 3-5, 8, 10, 12-14 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

The Title of the application has been changed, as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite that the erosive power of the jet is controlled in relation to the position of the impingement area on the selected trajectory. The Advisory Action indicates that claim 1 as-amended is allowable.

Claims 15-18 have been canceled.

Claim 19 has been re-written in independent form, incorporating the limitations of the claims from which it formerly depended.

Applicant therefore submits that claims 1, 2, 4-14 and 19 are allowable.

Because the amendments place the claims in condition for allowance, Applicant respectfully requests that they be entered and the rejections withdrawn.

In the event the Examiner has any questions or issues regarding the present application, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted, Jan-jette Blange.

P.O. Box 2463 Houston, Texas 77252-2463 By /Marcella D. Watkins/ Attorney, Marcella D. Watkins Registration No. 36,962 (713) 241-1041